

NFL Ready

I believe that Kenny Hill from Texas A&M is a perfect fit for our team because of these almost similar to most of the big time NFL quarterbacks such as Russell Wilson. I have backed up my findings from their recent game films and have concluded that they have very similar throwing patterns. In the film that I have watched he has a very consistent throwing angle at 60 degrees average per throw. Almost identical to Russell Wilson's 60 degree throwing angle. Another big attribute that is similar is that their average velocity is very close. Kenny Hill's initial velocity is 49.83 f/s. While Russell Wilson has an almost similar velocity at 56.02 f/s.

Kenny Hill's Data

Feet	30	135	75	45	75	30
Throwing Angle	50	70	70	60	50	60
Velocity	31.32	82.24	61.29	40.9	49.52	33.40

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=x4slllygUsmg>

You can see that he is very consistent on how he throws, and depending on how far he throws is how the velocity is going to look.

1

Russell Wilson's Data

Feet	150	150	90	60	75
Throwing angle	70	60	70	70	60
Velocity	86.68	74.68	67.15	54.82	52.81

5

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=q7II7HKusrg>

Russell's stats are a little better because he is a top NFL quarterback but Kenny Hill's stats are almost identical with Russell's. In conclusion I believe that Kenny Hill can one day be even better than Russell Wilson one day so we need to draft him before someone else realizes his potential.

Work Sample Evaluation

Subject Area: Physics

Task Title: NFL Ready

Student Work Sample Title: NFL Ready

The document was scored using the *CCR Task Bank Rubric*. The final scores are indicated in the following chart.

Scoring Criteria	Insufficient Evidence	Developing	Progressing	Accomplished	Exceeds
Research and Investigation	X				
Ideas and Content		X			
Reading and Analysis		X			
Communication		X			
Organization		X			
Accuracy	X				

Annotations: The following evidence from the work sample and the reviewer’s comments support the scores above. Page and line numbers refer to the original work sample.

Scoring Criteria	Page #	Line #	Commentary about the work sample
Research and Investigation: <i>Locating resources independently and/or identifying information within provided texts</i>	1	11	Statistics are tabulated that are not available from the footage cited. No effort is provided as to how the data was attained or what calculations were needed to get the information. Further the chart was poorly labeled, forcing the reader to guess at what exactly the tabulated data was. The table also had no units associated with it.
			No data analysis is evident.
Ideas and Content: <i>Presenting a thesis and understanding concepts</i>	1	1	The work sample's thesis is ill supported and poorly defined.
Reading and Analysis: <i>Evaluating sources and selecting evidence to support the central idea</i>	2	6	The paper makes connections between the data tabulated in the paper.
			Analysis is presented but the source of the data is unclear and no mention is made of how the terms were physically derived.
Communication: <i>Using subject-appropriate language and considering audience</i>	1	7	Subject appropriate language is used but the language is sporadic and does not draw a clear picture of the concepts being discussed.
Organization: <i>Structuring main ideas and supporting information</i>	1	2	There is a thesis statement present.
	1	16	Transitions within the paper are not evident.
Accuracy: <i>Attending to detail, grammar, spelling, conventions, citations, and formatting</i>	1	2	The grammar errors in the work sample make reading this paper a chore.
	1	6	There is a misplaced modifier in this sentence.
	1	15	The citations in the work sample are inappropriately placed.